Depression, Anxiety and Stress in First Year Psychology Students:

Principles of Psychology Lab Report

your name

your school

Abstract

A laboratory study was conducted to compare first-year university students' scores on the Depression A nxiety Stress Scales (DASS, Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995, cited in Crawford & Henry, 2003) wit

h norms based on a general population (Crawford and Henry, 2003). Results replicated findings in Wong, et al. (2006) that the students had higher levels of depression and anxiety than the norm s for a general population, but there were no significant gender differences in depression and anx iety levels. While there were significant relationships between the three DASS subscales, there w ere no significant differences in the relationship of age with the DASS subscales. Depression, Anxiety and Stress in First Year Psychology Students:

## Principles of Psychology Lab Report

Did you know that eight years after being diagnosed with Stage 1 breast cancer, there rem ains about a 3% chance you will die of a recurrence within the next two years – compared with a bout a .001% chance that you will die had you been diagnosed with Stage 4 breast cancer, i.e., m etastasis has occurred (Taylor, Davis, & Boyages, 2002)? In another words, after eight years, the 10-year survival rate is *higher* (almost certain) for those who had been diagnosed with Stage 4 ca ncer than for those diagnosed with Stage 1 cancer. Why? because almost all of those diagnosed w ith Stage 4 cancer have already died. What does this have to do with the finding that first-year un iversity students suffer from greater psychological distress than other students (Adlaf, Gliksman, Demers, Newton-Taylor, 1998, cited and *interpreted* in Wong et al., 2006)? The first-year student s who become second-year students may not be any more or less distressed than they were durin g their first year.

Of course, it's sad when *anyone* is distressed, but Wong et al.'s interpretation of the above finding also should sadden anyone with respect for the scientific method. In addition, Wong et al. (2006) interpreted findings of depression, anxiety, and stress in first-year university students (tho ugh only small percentages of the 7915 participants were seriously disturbed) higher, than in stud ies using norms that were representative of general populations [using the subscales of the Depre ssion Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS), Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995, cited in Crawford & Henry, 2 003], as evidence that had "major implications" (p. 781) *related to being a first-year student*. The researchers know nothing about the participants' depression, anxiety, or stress levels prior to ente ring university or about how, for example, the levels compare with those who had graduated fro m high school a year ago and have been slinging hamburgers ever since graduation. They also mi sleadingly mixed previous studies of university students in general with those with information a

bout first-year students *in particular* (pp. 777-778) and were not surprised to find higher levels of depression in males than in females (despite not noticing reverse findings using the DASS in rese arch they cite, Crawford & Henry, 2003, p. 117), although "women are 2 or 3 times" more likely than men to report being depressed "in study after study" (Emery & Oltmanns, 2000). (As discus sed below, gender differences are not necessarily based on genetic differences.)

Wong et al. (2006) used participants ranging in age from under 18 to 24, although most w ere 19 to 24 years old. Relationships between age and the DASS subscales were not assessed (an d with large samples, small and meaningless differences may be statistically significant, Salkind, 2006). In fact, Crawford and Henry (2006) did find weak (Salkind, 2006) negative age relations hips (Pearson rs < /.19/, ps < .01, two-tailed tests) with the depression and stress subscales and w ith total score. Further research, an intention of the laboratory report described below, is needed o n relationships between age and the DASS.

The laboratory study reported below was designed to assess whether Wong et al.'s results (2006) would be replicated using Crawford and Henry's norms (2003) and to explore the relation ship between age and the DASS. Replication of Wong et al. (2006) required hypothesizing there would be higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress in first-year university students than in t he general population norms in Crawford and Henry (2003), and that female students would report higher levels of anxiety and stress than males would report, but lower levels of depression...

## ...References

- Behar, D. (1980). Familial substrates of depression. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *41*, 52-56.
- Bonano, G. A., Keltner, D., Holen, A., & Horowitz, M. J. (1997). When avoiding unpleasant memories might not be such a bad thing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 975-989.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., & Ceci, S. J. (1994). Nature-nurture re-conceptualized in developmental perspective. *Psychological Review, 101,* 568-586.
- Clancy, K., & Gove, W. (1974). Gender differences in mental illness. *American Journal of Sociology, 86,* 205-216.
- Coleman, M. P. (2001). Trends and socioeconomic inequalities in cancer survival in England and Wales. *British Journal of Cancer, 90,* 1367-1373.
- Crawford J. R., & Henry, J. D. (2003). The depression anxiety stress scales (DASS): Normative data and latent structure in a large non-clinical sample. *British Journal* of Clinical Psychology, 42, 111-131.
- Depression anxiety stress scales DASS, retrieved October, 1, 2007.
- Emery, R. E., & Oltmanns, T. F. (2000). *Essentials of clinical psychology*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Goldstein, J. M. (1995). The impact of gender on understanding the epidemology of schizophrenia. In M. V. Seeman (Ed.), *Gender and psychopathology*, pp. 159-199.Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Harris, J. R. (1995). Where is the child's environment? A group socialization theory of development. *Psychological Review*, 102, 458-490...